



ATTENTION

Probate cases on this calendar are currently under review by the probate examiners. Review of some probate cases may not be completed and therefore have not been posted.

If your probate case has not been posted please check back again later.

Thank you for your patience.

(1) First and Final Account and Report of Administration, Petition for Settlement, (2) for Allowance of Statutory Compensation to Executors and to Attorneys, (3) for Allowance of Extraordinary Fees to Executor, Donald Wolfe, and to Attorneys, and (4) for Final Distribution

DOD: 04/15/08		DONALD WOLFE , sole remaining Executor, and MARITZA SOLANO-LAZAR , Co-Executors until her resignation on 12/09/09, are Petitioners.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: 1. Need proposed pro rata payment schedule to creditors of the estate assets remaining after payment of the costs of administration. 2. No itemization of requested extraordinary fees has been provided. 3. Notice of hearing to Cameron Lewis Stephenson was sent in care of Trisha Elaine Stephenson Phillips; notice mailed to a person in care of another person is insufficient pursuant to CA Rules of Court 7.51 (a)(2). 4. The proof of service on Notice of Hearing filed 02/10/14 does not indicate service on James Christensen, County Bank or Wm. Thomas Lewis, Esq., both of whom have filed a request for special notice.
		Account period: 09/02/08 – 07/15/13	
Cont. from		Accounting - \$2,944,606.77	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH - \$2,789,859.19	
✓	Verified	Ending POH - \$177,708.25 (all cash)	
✓	Inventory	Executors - \$30,937.56	
✓	PTC	(statutory, to be split 15% or \$4,640.63 to Maritza Solano Lazar and 82% or \$26,296.93 to Donald Wolfe)	
✓	Not.Cred.	Executor x/o - \$8,525.00 (to Donald Wolfe for sales of real property, sales of personal property (vehicles), travel expenses related to sales of property, liquidation of assets, etc.)	
✓	Notice of Hrg	Attorney - \$30,937.56	
✓	Aff.Mail	(statutory, to be split 14% or \$4,558.00 to Kevin Gunner and 86% or \$26,379.56 to Griswold, LaSalle, Cobb, Dowd & Gin)	
	Aff.Pub.	Attorney x/o - \$21,771.90 (for work related to petition to determine heirship and family allowance request, work determining ownership of a BMW and Rolex watch, tax preparation, petitions relating to whether the estate was the owner of real property, sales/short sales/foreclosures of real property, sales of a boat and vehicles, sale of jewelry owned by decedent)	
	Sp.Ntc.	Costs - \$3,422.11 (copy charges, online research, mail charges, certified copies, service of process – Petitioner states that more than 17 creditor's and interested parties required notice)	
	Pers.Serv.	Continued on Page 2	
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters	09/05/08	
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
✓	9202		
✓	Order		
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
✓	FTB Notice		

Closing Reserve - **\$15,000.00**

Outstanding Creditor's Claims- **\$8,935.629.38**

Declaration of Don Wolfe filed 02/28/14 states that the estate incurred an additional expense in the amount of \$6,445.00 after the filing of this Petition for the preparation of Federal Estate Tax Returns, this amount was paid by the estate. Therefore the remaining cash in the estate is \$171,263.25 rather than \$177,708.25 as reflected in the Petition.

Petitioners state that after payment of requested statutory and extraordinary attorney's fees and costs, \$60,669.12 will be available to pay the creditors of the estate on a pro rata basis to satisfy the outstanding creditor's claims, with any remaining funds to be distributed to Donald Wolfe and Maritza Solano-Lazar, Successor Co-Trustees of the Casey Stephenson Revocable Living Trust.

Atty Miller, Russell C. (of Visalia, for Joshua David Leong – Administrator – Petitioner)

(1) Second and Final Report of Joshua David Leong, Administrator on Waiver of Account and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Attorney for Ordinary Services and (3) for Final Distribution

DOD: 2-3-12		<p>JOSHUA DAVID LEONG, Son and Administrator with Full IAEA without bond, is Petitioner.</p> <p>Final accounting is waived.</p> <p>I&A: \$156,997.00 POH: \$152,332.84 (\$12,332.84 cash plus real property located at 4431 N. Teilman)</p> <p>Administrator (Statutory): Waived</p> <p>Attorney (Statutory): \$6,070.27</p> <p>Costs: \$750.00 (not itemized, not included in the proposed order)</p> <p>Closing: \$1,000.00</p> <p>Distribution pursuant to intestate succession:</p> <p>Joshua David Leong: \$1,315.64 cash plus a 25% undivided interest as tenant in common in the real property</p> <p>Isaac Paul Leong: \$1,315.64 cash plus a 25% undivided interest as tenant in common in the real property</p> <p>Joel James Leong: \$1,315.64 cash plus a 25% undivided interest as tenant in common in the real property</p> <p>Benjamin Thomas Leong: \$1,315.64 cash plus a 25% undivided interest as tenant in common in the real property</p>	<p>NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Need consent to distribution of real property in undivided interests from <u>all distributees</u> pursuant to Local Rule 7.12.4. 2. Attorney fee base appears to still be incorrect. See Examiner's explanation on Page 2. 3. Petitioner originally requested \$750.00 in reimbursement for costs. Examiner Notes requested itemization pursuant to Local Rule 7.17. This Amendment does not provide any itemization of the costs, and only states that \$750.00 was paid to the attorney for costs, which is not sufficient. <p>However, although the prayer requests reimbursement, it does not appear to be included in the order or the calculation of distribution to the four heirs.</p> <p>Therefore, <u>if</u> reimbursement of \$750.00 in costs is requested, need itemization, and also need recalculation of distribution and revised order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>SEE PAGE 2</u></p>	
Cont. from 021814				
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Sub.Wit.			
✓	Verified			
✓	Inventory			
✓	PTC			
✓	Not.Cred.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Notice of Hrg			Waived
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Mail			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sp.Ntc.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pers.Serv.			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conf. Screen			
✓	Letters			6-14-12
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Video Receipt			
<input type="checkbox"/>	CI Report			
✓	9202			
✓	Order			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aff. Posting			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Status Rpt			
<input type="checkbox"/>	UCCJEA			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Citation			
✓	FTB Notice			

Reviewed by: skc
Reviewed on: 2-10-14
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 2 – Leong

Page 2

Note re Proposed Order: It was previously noted that the estate obtained a \$33,000.00 loan in order to keep the real property, and Examiner Notes noted that borrowing on behalf of the estate was not authorized per §9800. Examiner Notes further noted that Petitioner requested that the Court confirm all acts and proceedings, but that the Court may strike any such language from the order based on this action.

Examiner notes that at this time, the Amendment continues to request such confirmation of acts in the prayer; however, the order does not include the language.

Note re Attorney Fee Base:

The attorney provides the following fee base:

+ I&A \$156,997.00
+ Receipts \$14,742.86 (*unclear where this figure came from*)
+ Gains \$1,472.38
- Losses \$2,730.93
= Fee base \$169,008.93
= Fee \$6,070.27

However, these figures do not correspond to the schedules provided in the "Amendment" document. According to the schedules in the "Amendment" document, the fee base would be as follows:

+ I&A \$156,997.00
+ Receipts \$4,072.86 (???)
+ Gains \$28.41 (???)
- Losses \$2,450.00 (???)
= Fee base \$156,848.27
= Fee \$5,705.45

However, the schedules in the "Amendment" document are obviously incomplete and conflict with the schedules provided concurrently in the "Ex Parte" document. This fee base is also incorrect.

Therefore, based on review of all of the schedules and figures provided in both the "Amendment" and "Ex Parte" documents together, Examiner calculates the fee base as follows:

+ I&A \$156,997.00
+ Receipts \$12,695.00 (rent \$10,670.00, principal \$25.00 + \$2,000.00 from debtor of decedent)
(*There is a \$2,047.86 discrepancy between this figure and the figure the attorney uses of \$14,742.86.*)
+ Gains \$1,472.38 (jewelry sales, Indian Sweets and Spices, unclaimed property)
- Losses \$2,730.93
= Fee base \$168,433.45
= Fee \$6,053.00

Petitioner states that decedent's will states that the New York Life Insurance Policy, the IRAs and the Teacher's Retirement are to be divided equally between Petitioner and Joaquín S. Verduzco. The will further directs that the following amounts are to be subtracted "from this amount:" Funeral Expenses, \$2,000.00 to Juanita S. Verduzco, \$1,000.00 to Carmen Pérez and \$1,000.00 to Martha Wong. Petitioner and Joaquín Verduzco were the named beneficiaries of the insurance, IRA and retirement benefit. Petitioner seeks an instruction and order that neither Juanita S. Verduzco, Carmen Pérez, nor Martha Wong are entitled to a distribution from the estate from those assets. Because such assets are not part of the estate Petitioner asserts that the direction in the will to pay bequests from those assets is ineffectual and unenforceable. Petitioner seeks an instruction that the estate has no such obligation and/or that said persons are not entitled to a distribution of the bequests.

Distribution, pursuant to decedent's will, is to:

Joaquín S. Verduzco - 100% interest in 2002 Toyota Tacoma pickup, 100% interest in residence on Grant Avenue in Fresno, 100% interest in refrigerator, washer and dryer, 50% undivided interest in all personal property not specifically devised; \$15,000.00 (to be paid from any property not known or discovered), and 50% undivided interest in any other property not now known discovered

Susie S. Verduzco-Samanc - 100% interest in residence on E. Rancho Drive in Fresno, 100% interest in outdoor patio chair and table furniture, outdoor kitchen, spa, china cabinet, table and chairs, 50% undivided interest in all personal property not specifically devised, and 50% undivided interest in any other property not now known discovered (after payment of \$15,000.00 to Joaquín S. Verduzco from such property)

In addition to the above distribution, Petitioner prays for an Order:

1. That the Court excuse Petitioner from conducting a proceeding in Mexico to obtain the business interest of Petitioner's in Mexico;
2. That the Court instruct Petitioner that she has no obligation to distribute specific cash gifts to Juanita S. Verduzco, Carmen Pérez nor Martha Wong;
3. That the Court order that the first \$15,000.00 of any property of the estate not now known or discovered that may belong to the estate or in which the decedent of the estate may have any interest be distributed to Joaquín S. Verduzco and afterwards equally to Susie S. Verduzco-Samanc and to Joaquín S. Verduzco; and
4. That the court order that any unpaid fees be a lien on the properties distributed to the beneficiaries.

Patricia Stott (CONS/PE)

Nuttall, Natalie R. (for Brooke A. Castle – Conservator – Petitioner)

Motsenbocker, Gary L. (for Clayton James Stott – Trustee)

Petition for Substituted Judgment to Modify Conservatee's Revocable Survivor's Trust

Case No. 13CEPR00432

		BROOKE A. CASTLE , Granddaughter and Conservator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Note: \$435 remains due from Clayton James Stott for the hearing required on the matter of his Ex Parte Application for Order Limiting Powers of Conservator as ordered per minute order on 2-5-14. Note: Pursuant to Minute Order 3-5-14, Attorney Natalie Nuttall was to provide a revised order based on the outcome of the hearing. As of 3-6-14, no order has been received for signature. Note: Clayton James Stott was previously represented by Attorney Paul Franco; however, pursuant to Substitution filed 2-19-14, he is now represented by Attorney Gary Motsenbocker. 1. This petition for substituted judgment, in essence, seeks to remove the existing current trustee Clayton James Stott, from office in a trust which was previously created by the Conservatee prior to conservatorship. An action for removal would need to be brought in a separate case under applicable law and with appropriate notice. See Probate Code §§ 17200, 15642, etc. Regardless of whether substituted judgment is granted, a separate trust action must be filed and heard under applicable law. 2. The Court may require clarification as to why substituted judgment for an entirely new trustee is preferable to the named successor trustee, Darlene Stott, if Clayton James Stott is removed. 3. Petitioner appears to assume that this modification requires continuing jurisdiction. However, Cal. Rules of Court 7.903 applies to trusts <i>funded</i> by Court order under Probate Code §2580. This trust was already funded and the modification does not affect the assets or funding. Therefore, need clarification and authority for continuing jurisdiction. Please also note, again, that if this petition is granted, a separate trust action must be filed for such continuing jurisdiction. See #1 above and Local Rule 7.1.2.
		Petitioner states she was appointed as Conservator of the Person and Estate of Patricia Stott on 8-15-13.	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Patricia Stott's immediate family consists of a sister, a daughter-in-law, adult grandchildren, and her stepson, Clayton James "Jim" Stott, who is currently the trustee of the Conservatee's revocable survivor's trust executed on 2-15-13.	
✓	Verified	Petitioner requests to modify the Conservatee's current revocable Survivor's Trust solely for the purpose of appointing a third party professional fiduciary, H.F. RICK LEAS , with Central Valley Fiduciary Services, as sole acting trustee. The Conservatee has indicated to Petitioner that in an effort to diminish any continued conflict between the trustee (Mr. Stott) and the conservator (Petitioner), she wishes to have a neutral fiduciary act as the sole trustee of her survivor's trust.	
	Inventory		
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg		
✓	Aff.Mail	W	
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
✓	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
✓	Order	Currently, Ms. Castle, as conservator of the estate, is reliant upon Mr. Stott to provide sufficient funds from the trust to the conservatorship estate in order to pay the conservatee's numerous bills and living expenses. As this Court is well aware, the parties in this matter have sought the Court's assistance on several occasions to resolve their disputes. Mr. Leas is agreeable to act as trustee and is named in the proposed trust (Exhibit A). On the conservatee's death, the trustee will distribute as currently provided in the conservatee's existing estate plan. Petitioner is informed and believes that the Conservatee is in favor of the proposed action, and the proposed action would have no adverse effect on the estate.	
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
<u>SEE ADDITIONAL PAGES</u>			
Reviewed by: skc			
Reviewed on: 3-6-14			
Updates:			
Recommendation:			
File 5 – Stott			

Page 2

Petitioner states the Conservatee as a reasonably prudent person would indeed take the proposed action herself if not under a conservatorship. Petitioner states the Conservatee originally felt "badgered" by Mr. Stott with issues related to her financial assets, most of which are held in the trust, and therefore nominated Petitioner as her conservator. Most recently, for several months, Mr. Stott has failed to provide any funds to Ms. Castle from the trust which are necessary for Ms. Castle to pay the conservatee's bills and expenses. As such, Ms. Castle is unable to perform her duties as conservator.

As stated, the Conservatee has requested that a neutral person take over the responsibilities as trustee of her trust in order to alleviate the ongoing conflict between Mr. Stott and Ms. Castle and for the Conservatee's personal well-being and benefit.

The proposed modified trust (Exhibit A) includes the same provisions for distribution on the conservatee's death as the current trust, and becomes a trust subject to continuing jurisdiction under Cal. Rules of Court 7.903.

Petitioner requests an order:

1. **Authorizing and directing BROOK A. CASTLE, as Conservator of the person and estate of PATRICIA G. STOTT, to execute and date the modified proposed trust (entitled THE PATRICIA STOLL GREGORY STOTT REVOCABLE SURVIVOR'S TRUST ESTABLISHED PURSUATN TO COURT ORDER), attached as *Exhibit A* to this order, on behalf of PATRICIA G. STOTT, pursuant to California Probate Code Sections 2580(a)(1) and 2580(b)(11)(A); and**
2. **For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.**

**Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to
Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)**

DOD: 09/28/2011		ESTELLA G. GARZA and RAQUEL G. NANEZ , request that ESTELA G. GARZA, RAQUEL G. NANEZ , and ROJELIA G. GONZALEZ , daughters, be appointed co-executors without bond. Full IAEA - ? Will dated: 09/27/2001 Residence: Parlier Publication: The Business Journal	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Minute Order 02/11/2014: Examiner notes are provided to Counsel. The following issues remain: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Original Will is attached to the petition. Pursuant to Probate Code §8200(a)(1) the original will is to be deposited with the Court and a copy of the will attached to the petition. 2. Will is not self-proving. Need proof of Subscribing Witness. 3. Need name and date of death of the decedent's spouse pursuant to Local Rule 7.1.1D. 4. Affidavit of Publication does not include IAEA language therefore the Court cannot grant IAEA authority. 5. Need proof of service of Notice of Petition to Administer Estate on Teodora Garza Garza. Note: Teodora Garza Garza was served in care of Estella Garza Garza, Trustee. Service in care of another person is insufficient pursuant to CA Rules of Court 7.51(a)(1). 6. Duties & Liabilities of Personal Representative was not signed by Rojelia G. Gonzalez. 7. Need Confidential Supplement to Duties & Liabilities of Personal Representative from Rojelia G. Gonzalez.
Cont. from 121013, 021114			
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff.Sub.Wit.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verified			
<input type="checkbox"/> Inventory			
<input type="checkbox"/> PTC			
<input type="checkbox"/> Not.Cred.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Hrg			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Mail	w/		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aff.Pub.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Sp.Ntc.		Estimated value of the Estate: Personal property - \$20,880.00 Real property - \$272,907.00 Total - \$293,787.00 Probate Referee: Steven Diebert	See additional page
<input type="checkbox"/> Pers.Serv.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Conf. Screen			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Letters			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duties/Supp			
<input type="checkbox"/> Objections			
<input type="checkbox"/> Video Receipt			
<input type="checkbox"/> CI Report			
<input type="checkbox"/> 9202			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Order			
<input type="checkbox"/> Aff. Posting		Reviewed by: LV	
<input type="checkbox"/> Status Rpt		Reviewed on: 03/07/2014	
<input type="checkbox"/> UCCJEA		Updates:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Citation		Recommendation:	
<input type="checkbox"/> FTB Notice		File 6 – Garza	

8. Need Declaration from Rojelia G. Gonzalez consenting to act as personal representative.
9. #5a(3) or 5a(4) was not answered regarding domestic partner.
10. #5a(7) or 5a(8) of the Petition was not answered regarding issue of predeceased child.
11. Letters do not include each of the personal representatives' names and signatures.
12. Order does not include Rojelia G. Gonzalez.

Note: If the petition is granted status hearings will be set as follows:

- **Friday, 08/15/2014 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303** for the filing of the inventory and appraisal **and**
- **Friday, 05/15/2015 at 9:00a.m. in Dept. 303** for the filing of the first account and final distribution.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.5 if the required documents are filed 10 days prior to the hearings on the matter the status hearing will come off calendar and no appearance will be required.

DOD: 03/30/2011		HELEN REED , Trustee of the Reed Family Trust, is petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: Minute Order of 02/04/2014: No appearances. Counsel directed to review the examiner notes posted on the Court's website. Copy of Minute Order mailed to Attorney Daniel T. McCloskey on 02/07/2014. Note: The filing fee was initially waived for this petition; however, the fee will be due prior to distribution pursuant to Government Code §68637. (Filing fee is \$435.00.) 1. Need Order.
		40 days since DOD	
		No other proceedings	
Cont. from 020414			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		
✓	Verified	I&A - \$100,000.00	
✓	Inventory	Will dated: 04/28/1997 will devises all assets to the Trustee of the Reed Revocable Living Trust, designated as the Reed Family Trust.	
	PTC		
	Not.Cred.		
✓	Notice of Hrg	Petitioner requests Court determination that decedent's 100% interest in real property located at 3207 S. Cherry Fresno, Ca. pass to Reed Family Trust pursuant to decedent's will.	
✓	Aff.Mail		
	Aff.Pub.		
	Sp.Ntc.		
	Pers.Serv.		
	Conf. Screen		
	Letters		
	Duties/Supp		
	Objections		
	Video Receipt		
	CI Report		
	9202		
	Order	x	
	Aff. Posting		
	Status Rpt		
	UCCJEA		
	Citation		
	FTB Notice		
			Reviewed by: LV Reviewed on: 03/07/2014 Updates: Recommendation: File 7 – Reed

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of Inventory and Appraisal

DOD: 10/22/11	WILL SCOTT, JR. , surviving spouse, was appointed successor Administrator on 02/08/13.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from 052413, 062113, 081613, 101113, 011014	Letters of Administration were issued on 02/08/13.	CONTINUED FROM 01/10/14
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Status Report filed 05/17/13 states: Counsel and the Administrator have not had time to determine what assets remain in the estate and what assets have been determined to be joint tenancy property. A continuance is requested so that counsel and the Administrator can meet to prepare the Inventory & Appraisal and forward it to the Probate Referee for appraisal and subsequent filing with the Court.	Minute Order from 01/10/14 states:
Verified		Counsel advises the Court that they are having difficulty with Wells Fargo Bank. Counsel further advises that he believes this may be a no asset estate.
Inventory	x	
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
	Status Report filed 10/10/13 states: It appears that there are no assets in this estate, but they have not had time to do an Inventory & Appraisal.	
	Status Report filed on 12/23/13 states the Administrator has served a Subpoena for Records on Wells Fargo Bank in September regarding four bank accounts. As of this date, Wells Fargo has failed to produce the requested records. A demand for production of records pursuant to the subpoena was served on Wells Fargo on 11/20/13, and no response nor records have been received as of this date. Therefore, Administrator is unable to prepare and file and inventory and appraisal. The estate is not yet in a condition to close.	
		Reviewed by: JF
		Reviewed on: 03/05/14
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 10A – Scott

DOD: 10-22-11	WILL SCOTT, JR. , son, was appointed successor Administrator with limited IAEA without bond on 02/08/13 pursuant to a Stipulation for Settlement filed 2-6-13.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS: CONTINUED FROM 01/10/14 Minute Order from 01/10/14 states: Counsel advises the Court that they are having difficulty with Wells Fargo Bank. Counsel further advises that he believes this may be a no asset estate. Note re history: Michelle Scott, daughter, was originally appointed as Administrator with Will Annexed and the Will dated 6-10-11 was admitted to probate on 2-2-12. Will Scott Jr., filed a Will Contest. Pursuant to Stipulation for Settlement filed 2-6-13, Michelle Scott resigned and Will Scott Jr., was appointed as Successor Administrator with limited IAEA without bond. Letters issued 2-8-13. Upon issuance of Letters the Court set status dates for filing I&A and petition for final distribution. The original petition estimated personal property valued at \$150,000 and real property that was encumbered for its entire value of \$300,000. Creditor's claims have been filed, and partially allowed. The Stipulation for Settlement indicated \$57,250 held in attorney Fanucchi's trust and discussed estate assumption of liabilities on creditor's claims as well as other litigation and various releases and waivers by the parties. An unverified status report filed 10-10-13 in connection with the continued status hearing on filing the I&A stated that it appears there are no estate assets, but they have not had time to do an I&A. 1. Need status of estate.
Cont. from 102513, 011014	Letters of Administration were issued on 2-8-13. On 4-12-13, the Court sent notice of status hearings for filing of I&A on 5-24-13 and filing of first account or petition for final distribution on 10-25-13. Note: Inventory and Appraisal has not been filed. Status hearing for filing I&A was continued numerous times and on 10-11-13 was again continued to 1-10-14.	
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory		
PTC		
Not.Cred.		
Notice of Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf. Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video Receipt		
CI Report		
9202		
Order		
Aff. Posting		
Status Rpt		
UCCJEA		
Citation		
FTB Notice		
		Reviewed by: skc/JF
		Reviewed on: 03/05/14
		Updates:
		Recommendation:
		File 10B – Scott